

MARK J. BARTOLOTTA, JUDGE
PROBATE COURT OF LAKE COUNTY, OHIO

IN THE MATTER OF THE GUARDIANSHIP OF _____
Case No. _____ Docket _____ Page _____

INVESTIGATOR'S REPORT ON GUARDIANSHIP
(R.C. 2111.041)

GENERAL INFORMATION

Prospective ward's age _____ Residence _____
Relationship to applicant _____

If guardianship is limited, include specific duties requested: _____

Grounds for application (R.C. 2111.01(D)) _____

Documentation submitted and date of evaluation _____

INVESTIGATOR'S REPORT

Service of notice made in hospital, nursing facility, or community based care facility:
Name of Facility _____
Address of Facility _____
Administrator or representative served _____

Prospective ward's understanding of the concept of guardianship:
☐ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Poor ☐ Unable to determine

Prospective ward's attitude to the concept of guardianship was:
☐ Consenting ☐ Opposed ☐ Unable to determine

Specific requests of prospective ward concerning enumerated rights: _____

Others present during contact: _____

Describe the extent to which the prospective ward's rights were communicated and the method and language used. _____

MENTAL AND PHYSICAL CONDITION OF PROSPECTIVE WARD

Observed or reported evidence of mental and/or physical impairments affecting prospective ward's ability to properly care for himself.

a.) Mental

b.) Physical

RECOMMENDATIONS

Is there a necessity for guardianship?

☐ Yes ☐ No Describe: _____

Is there evidence that a less restrictive alternative is needed?

☐ Yes ☐ No Describe: _____

Necessity for appointment of:

☐ Attorney ☐ Independent Expert Evaluator

Special urgency needs (describe) _____

Remarks _____

Date

Investigator