



DUCT TIGHTNESS TEST VERIFICATION FORM

For showing compliance with the 2013 Residential Code of Ohio (RCO) Section 1103.2.2
and the 2009 International Energy Conservation Code (IECC) Section 403.2.2

JOB SITE ADDRESS: _____
Street Address & City/Township

Instructions: This form (*fillable pdf*) shall be used to report the Duct Tightness test results to the Lake County Building Department. Complete all required information on either Page 1 or Page 2 as applicable for the test shown on the RCO Energy Compliance Declaration Form for this address. Send or fax (404) 350-2660 only the applicable page to the Lake County Building Department for review prior to requesting a Final Inspection. *The form is not required if the air handler and all ducts are located within conditioned space.*

Enter the street address of the test above, then indicate (check) below the chosen compliance option shown on the RCO Energy Compliance Declaration Form for the building (see the Certification of Plan Approval attached to the approved plans).

- 2009 IECC (*complete Page 1 of this form as applicable*)
- 2013 RCO Sections 1101-1104, Prescriptive Method (*complete Page 1 of this form as applicable*)
- 2013 RCO Section 1105 "The Ohio Home Builder's Association (OHBA) Alternative Energy Code Option" (*complete ONLY PAGE 2 of this form as applicable*)**

PAGE 1

This page is for indicating test results for compliance with the 2013 RCO Section 1103.2.2 (Prescriptive Method) and the 2009 IECC Section 403.2.2

RCO Section 1103.2.2 and IECC Section 403.2.2 Tests

POST-CONSTRUCTION TEST:

Leakage to Outdoors:		CFM per 100 ft ² of conditioned floor area
Total leakage:		CFM per 100 ft ² of conditioned floor area

The system was tested at a pressure differential of 0.1 inch w.g. (25 Pa) across the entire system, including the manufacturer's air handler end closure. All register boots were taped or otherwise sealed during the test.

ROUGH-IN TEST:

Total leakage (where the air handler was not installed):	CFM per 100 ft ² of conditioned floor area
Total leakage (where the air handler was installed):	CFM per 100 ft ² of conditioned floor area

The system was tested at a pressure differential of 0.1 inch w.g. (25 Pa) across the roughed in system, including the manufacturer's air handler enclosure. All register boots were taped or otherwise sealed during the test.

I verify the test results and conditions indicated above have been conducted per the above criteria, the Approved Plans, the Certification of Plan Approval, and the 2013 RCO or 2009 IECC as applicable. NOTE: This completed form is required to be submitted to the Lake County Building Department for review prior to requesting a Final inspection. FAX: (440) 350-1660

Verifier (Print Name): _____ Company: _____

(Signature): _____ Address: _____

Date: _____ City/State: _____

Phone: _____



DUCT TIGHTNESS TEST VERIFICATION FORM

For showing compliance with the 2013 Residential Code of Ohio (RCO) Section 1105.3.2.2

JOB SITE ADDRESS: _____
Street Address & City/Township

Instructions: Enter the street address of the test above, then indicate (check) below the chosen compliance option shown on the RCO Energy Compliance Declaration Form for the building (see the Certification of Plan Approval attached to the approved plans): *The form is not required if the air handler and all ducts are located within conditioned space.*

2013 RCO Section 1105 "The Ohio Home Builder's Association (OHBA) Alternative Energy Code Option" then indicate the OHBA Option Compliance Path below (*complete ONLY Page 2 of this form as applicable*).

- Compliance Path #1
 Compliance Path #2

PAGE 2

**This page is for indicating test results for compliance with 2013 RCO Section 1105
 "The Ohio Home Builder's Association (OHBA) Alternative Energy Code Option"**

RCO Section 1105.3.2.2 OHBA Alternative Energy Code Option Tests

POST-CONSTRUCTION TEST:

Compliance Path #1

Leakage to Outdoors:		CFM per 100 ft ² of conditioned floor area
Total leakage:		CFM per 100 ft ² of conditioned floor area

Compliance Path #2

Leakage to Outdoors:		CFM per 100 ft ² of conditioned floor area
Total leakage:		CFM per 100 ft ² of conditioned floor area

The system was tested at a pressure differential of 0.1 inch w.g. (25 Pa) across the entire system, including the manufacturer's air handler end closure. All register boots were taped or otherwise sealed during the test.

ROUGH-IN TEST:

Compliance Path #1

Total leakage (where the air handler was not installed):		CFM per 100 ft ² of conditioned floor area
Total leakage (where the air handler was installed):		CFM per 100 ft ² of conditioned floor area

Compliance Path #2

Total leakage (where the air handler was not installed):		CFM per 100 ft ² of conditioned floor area
Total leakage (where the air handler was installed):		CFM per 100 ft ² of conditioned floor area

The system was tested at a pressure differential of 0.1 inch w.g. (25 Pa) across the roughed in system, including the manufacturer's air handler enclosure. All register boots were taped or otherwise sealed during the test.

I verify the test results and conditions indicated above have been conducted per the above criteria, the Approved Plans, the Certification of Plan Approval, and the 2013 RCO or 2009 IECC as applicable. NOTE: This completed form is required to be submitted to the Lake County Building Department for review prior to requesting a Final inspection. FAX: (440) 350-1660

Verifier (Print Name): _____ Company: _____
 (Signature): _____ Address: _____
 Date: _____ City/State: _____
 Phone: _____